

is not what I feel is an ideal solution but I feel that the amendment which does offer three districts, all three running north to south, when a judge from Lincoln might have time it would be in the first proposal an impossibility to move into another section. But with this proposal, you would have more flexibility. You would truly have an answer to a problem. I understand that there has been a request for a number of years for solutions to the problem and it is also my understanding that there hasn't been a wild dash to help solve the problem. It is easier to say, no, I am against the proposal that is being offered and instead of my standing and saying I don't like 516 or I won't vote for 516, I am saying, let's take a look at a different way to solve the problem. Simply killing LB 516 does not offer a better alternative. I think there is a mechanism to do something to help solve a problem which is present in our state. I believe that this may be the answer. And as some of you have heard from your judges in your areas, you may find that this has broader support than what is currently before us. It is not a magic answer but it does offer some flexibility.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR MARSH: And for that reason, I will not be supporting the kill motion. I will be saying, take a look at the map. I had it handed out so that you have something in your hand when you are making the decision on the motion that is now before us. I would be very pleased if Senator Lamb would reconsider for the time being and if not, I am one who will vote no to indefinitely postpone 516 and I would ask you to seriously think about your vote before your own vote is cast on this issue.

PRESIDENT: Senator Wesely, then Senator Hefner.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. President. I would rise, too, in support of the bill and in opposition to the kill motion. I think that those who are opposing the effort to reform the judicial district system in this state are not recognizing the overall state need to be efficient in our court system. I think I understand their concerns in the rural areas of the state not wishing to make a change that might jeopardize their current judicial status. But nevertheless, we have recognized population shifts. We have recognized caseload shifts. We have recognized over the