

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, Mr. President. The bill has been argued over and studied for several years. You have got an hour's time. You ought to be able to decide the merits one way or another. It is not as if each member hasn't repeatedly been talked to on it. I might just point out, 49 states already have legislation on this and all the woeful warnings that Senator Peter Hoagland has written about, we have 49 laboratories, 49 other states that have had years and years of experience with essentially identical legislation and interestingly enough despite the fact that the wisdom of Senator Peter in this sheet says all these things are going to happen in the 49 laboratories, none of it has happened. So either he is smarter than all the experience and all the courts in 49 states or he is full of you know what. I let you make the conclusion as to which one is right. The issue is something that should be decided by the Legislature. The bracketing is inappropriate. The bill has come at its own time. I think it is fair to give it a vote one way or the other. Most of the members I think that are supporting it are willing to accept most if not all maybe of the committee amendments. And as I say, if it has worked 49 states for as long as thirty and forty years in some of the states, look to that a little bit more than this one sheet of whatever. I urge you not to bracket.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labeledz, then Senator Lynch is next in speaking order.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. Both the sponsors of the amendment to LB 105 said that LB 105 was not a priority bill. It may not be a priority bill to 49 senators but it certainly is a priority bill to many, many people in the State of Nebraska, including myself, and I vision myself some day not being able to see, because of unfortunate circumstances, my grandchildren. I think that would probably be the most devastating part of my life if I were not able to see my grandchildren. As a co-sponsor of LB 105, I want to urge you to reject this bracketing motion and suggest to Senator Vickers that we spent many, many days, hours on LB 662 which also involved children and it was a bill that I opposed and I cannot understand when we can stand here and say that taking a few minutes or even an hour's time and it wouldn't necessarily have to be an hour, there are many...I know there are 25 votes here to advance this bill, but to say that we are going to stand here for one hour and stall this bill in order for it not to be heard