

its original purpose and the current conditions of the bill may be summarized as who may request visitation. Only grandparents who are involved in the following situations may request such a privilege. (a) If the parent is deceased. (b) If the parents are divorced. (c) If the parents have never been married. In asking for the privilege before the courts, our bill originally suggested that visitation in all cases must be judged only in light of what is in the best interest of the child. The requirements which are now in the modified version of the bill which will be presented as the committee amendment include three conditions which must be met for such a request. Number one, a significant beneficial relationship must exist between the grandparent and the child. Two, it would be detrimental to the best interests of the child if such relationship were not allowed to continue. And, three, visitation would not significantly interfere with the parent-child relationship. Those will be presented for your consideration at the time...at the proper time. There is much more that I could offer at this time, but in view of the limitations of time and in view of the motions that have been filed, I would consider that as an opening and an explanation of the committee amendments to this point, sir.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Johnson. The Chair would like to announce that all senators from all districts here this morning have guests in the north balcony. There are 35 leaders of the grandparents group who are interested in the matter under discussion. We would like to welcome you to the Legislature this morning. Do you wish to take up the motion on the desk, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senators Vickers and Wesely would move to bracket LB 105 until January, 1986.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, in keeping with the remarks of our Speaker this morning and with the intent being to be as concise as possible on legislation, I offer this motion to bracket LB 105 in the hopes that we will be able to set this back until January of next year on this discussion of this bill, thereby giving us more time to deal with the priority bills, with the revenue bills and with the appropriations bills that this body has got before it. As Senator Nichol pointed out to this body, LB 105 is not a