

125,000, well, next year it would be 178,000 balance, and even with the transfer of funds, why the balance remaining in the fund over and above expenditures for the current year would be almost \$60,000. So there is even significant funds available to expand the program with no change in fee if that is the desire to move from an estimated expenditure of 96,000 to nearly 150,000 if they have programs, but they have indicated no appropriation request. The maximum that they anticipate to spend is 96.

PRESIDENT: Senator Smith, do you want recognition again?

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, Senator Warner, did you just say that there is adequate funds remaining in their for anything that they would want to do?

SENATOR WARNER: I said that the collection of the fees this year, well, anything the law permits them to do. As Senator Landis indicated, it is a reimbursed program, that the program is carried out and then it is reimbursed. Now if there aren't programs being offered for which people are seeking reimbursement obviously the money isn't spent no matter how much is appropriated.

SENATOR SMITH: So we are going to continue to require people to pay an additional fee when they get their license for something that they don't get anything out of and then it would become an accepted practice that we just transfer this money over to something else to be used?

SENATOR WARNER: That could be...I guess the answer to your question is, yes, the law sets the fee, and until the law is changed that sets the fee, why they have to pay it.

SENATOR SMITH: But the fee was set up, my understanding is that the fee was set up for a specific purpose.

SENATOR WARNER: Right.

SENATOR SMITH: But it hasn't really been utilized for that purpose then?

SENATOR WARNER: Apparently not, not in the amount, as Senator Landis pointed out, it was reduced in 1984, I believe, both the fee and the amount because they were raising more than what was being utilized. It perhaps could