

should treat it differently?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator, I would agree that any action establishing a precedent becomes used for some other purpose at a later date. So I would assume that is possible. My understanding of Senator Barrett's bill, my understanding that this kind of concept apparently was what had been set up in a number of states. I would assume that it is to give greater flexibility in the kind of programs that are envisioned in the bill than what traditionally would occur from straight out appropriations. Obviously, it could be done either way.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. One final question, Senator Warner, if I may,...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time is up.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...if the full amount that is allowed to be used is in fact used each year under the provisions of the bill wouldn't it take in excess of 40 years in order to fund the \$2.5 million?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, the projections I have was 29 at \$250,000 a year, 75 percent maximum of the principal used...of the new appropriation used, 75 percent of the interest earned at 10 percent rate was 29 years. Now if that calculation is not correct, I can't argue.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, I'm...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time is up.

SENATOR WARNER: If it's 30 or 40 it probably doesn't make a lot of difference.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, did you wish to speak on the question?

SENATOR WARNER: No, I have.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Senator Higgins, did you wish to speak a second time?

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President. I have not been 100 percent in favor of this child abuse program, but after