

SENATOR MARSH: Would you do that in person, this is not debate for the floor.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, the only thing I can say, fellow members, is that before lunch we had 26 votes for the amendment. Evidently, when I was in a committee hearing something happened over the noon hour and I don't know what it was, and right now I'm kind of feeling I hope I don't find out. But I still say the amendment is a good amendment. There is controls on the amendment. It is going to help agriculture. It doesn't violate any of the big rules. It came out of the committee. We've compromised everything we could on the bill to help everybody we can. I don't know what more can be said on the issue, Madam President. So as far as I'm concerned we can just go ahead and vote on the issue, or they can debate it from now until whenever they want to.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, as I understand the amendment is now up for adoption. To vote no would be to reject the amendment. I would urge the body to not support the amendment. It's been suggested to you that roads or the county has the option of offering or not offering the special permit. I suggest you look at the amendment on renewal. The renewal does not say it may be renewed, it says it shall be renewed, four times. So even if there is an option the first time, the road conditions change. If the weather is bad, additional hazard to overweight vehicles, it is then mandatory that they be given an extension for four additional months, 30 days at a time, irregardless of what the conditions are. So that then obviously is something that shouldn't be done. Again expansion to the designee of the applicant leaves it wide open. It would appear that the specific language that restricts the roads, the bridges that the county or the state might indicate apparently isn't, at least the specific language isn't there. It might be a little fuzzy if the general language permits it, but certainly it would be argued that the Legislature specifically took out those conditions which the Department of Roads or the county could grant or not grant a special permit, which is another reason. I would urge that the body not accept this amendment. It can be argued on 122, because that is a separate issue. That bill is a priority bill, it's on the