

they pick up dirt, big beets, little beets, they can't judge whether they are overweight or not. This just gives them that little flexibility of being legal, 15 percent. If they are over the fines are tremendous. Senator Warner has fines in there like you won't believe. He wanted them in there, we put them in there. So I can't see the objections because it is too tightly controlled. So I ask you to continue to support this amendment and not take it out of the bill. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd rise to support the motion for reconsideration for a number of reasons. Certainly the opportunity to discuss this issue does exist in another bill that is on General File, as has been pointed out. The bill itself, other than for this amendment, has some things that probably create no particular harm, it would be helpful in a few instances. The bill could go along without this amendment and probably create no problem. Again, there are no grounds that I would understand in this for denial, other than the general broad statement that they have a permit. But, again I repeat, the specific conditions which were specifically spelled out are stricken from the existing law by the amendment. I still go back to the argument that overweight is overweight, it doesn't make any difference to the road if it is an icebox, or wheat, or corn, or whatever it is, there is additional damage. Instructions show it plainly. I think that because of the nature of this kind of a controversial bill, by the way it does in my opinion include commercial trucks, Senator Haberman, because it says the applicant or their designee. I don't know what their designee means to you, but I assume you can designate another vehicle, another truck to do this. I would imagine, at least large operators in our area all have anywhere from 500 to 750 bushel augur wagons. You could have them sitting out there, you could have a commercial truck come and pick up a load. They could haul for several, all overweight. They could increase the volume substantially of a number of overweight vehicles that would be permitted, accelerating the damage. With the specific language which you can't even designate the road that's going to be used, at least the specific authorization is taken away, it seems to me this amendment would be best served, the bill would be best served if the language was stricken, and Senator Haberman argue this issue on 122 where