

May 6, 1985

LB 432
LR 257

Senator Haberman. (Read title to LR 257. See pages 2080-81 of the Legislative journal.) That will be laid over.

Senator Warner would like to announce, Mr. President, a meeting of the Executive Session of the Appropriations Committee upon adjournment in Room 1003.

Constitutional Revision has an Executive Session scheduled at twelve, noon in the lounge. That is offered by Senator Rupp.

That is all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: We next move to LB 432 on Select File.

CLERK: Mr. President, 432 was a bill that we considered last Friday. At that time amendments were adopted on that day. There was then pending, when we adjourned, a motion to amend by Senators Withem, Hartnett and Beyer. That amendment is found on page 1987 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is pending, Mr. Clerk? So we'll open up discussion again on the amendment. All right. Senator Hartnett, maybe you'd better present the amendment again so that we can bring the matter back into... (gavel.) Order in the Chamber, please, and attention to the speaker.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this amendment deals with a retirement plan, it deals with the retirement plan that counties with a population of over 85,000 can supplement the law enforcement retirement fund. It affects...so far there are three counties over...population of 85,000 people in this state. The next closest county is Hall County, I think, with 40,000. The other two counties with plans like this are Douglas and Lancaster County, and they already have their own special retirement program. So this only deals with one county in the state and that is Sarpy County, the fastest growing county, because I think I saw the figures the other day where we grew again over ten percent as far as people are concerned. It has the agreement of all parties concerned, of the county commissioners. In fact they changed the language from "may" to "shall" because they want to participate in this because they have quite a bit of attrition as far as their law enforcement people are concerned. So I would simply move that this committee, this