

and balanced", under the theory that the balance is...when it comes down they are balanced. It doesn't leave a...

SENATOR GOODRICH: Equal and balanced reduction though is the next word, isn't it?

SENATOR PAPPAS: Yes, reduction.

SENATOR GOODRICH: So you are saying the reduction shall be equal and balanced, not the missiles in place. So you are saying we both come down the same and leave the original in balance in place.

SENATOR PAPPAS: The reductions are equal, then they are balanced when they come down so you don't come down...

SENATOR GOODRICH: You better check your grammar.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Anyone else wish to speak on the Pappas amendment to the amendment? Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Goodrich mentioned or spoke on the very thing that I was concerned with. We are talking about equal balanced reductions, which means equally coming down balanced coming down instead of the end result which would be equal and balanced, which I think, Senator Pappas, is what you really meant to say. But your words do not say that in the way you have amended this. And, therefore, I would not support that. I would support "equal and balanced result" but not "reduction".

SPEAKER NICHOL: Anyone else on the Pappas amendment? Senator Harris, did you...not on the...Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak on the Pappas amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, being as there is some confusion over Senator Pappas's amendment, I can't support it because it doesn't say what I think he is trying to say. But I would like to call these words to your attention. It says, "yet our basic goal remains the same, a peaceful world community of free and independent states, free to choose their own future and their own system so long as it does not threaten the freedom of others." So long as it does not threaten the freedom of others, and the Soviet Union and their missiles are a threat to our freedom. Now who made this statement? John F.