

SENATOR HABERMAN: Then it must be on Final Reading.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Not necessarily. What is your point?

SENATOR HABERMAN: I think you have got me thoroughly confused because we have agreed that the debate is the same thing. We have agreed that when it is killed on Final Reading and the bill was on Final Reading because it wasn't going to go anywhere except to become a firm resolution, which is a Final Reading bill. Just because you have never been asked this before, I don't want you to sit there and shake your head like that, I mean, I want you to listen to me.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, what is your point, Senator Haberman?

SENATOR HABERMAN: My point is that it should take 30 votes when we consider this bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: It takes 25. It takes 25. Did you want to challenge the Chair?

SENATOR HABERMAN: I am chewing on it. Can we have just a second to chew on it?

SPEAKER NICHOL: No. I would rather you wouldn't.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I think I will. Members of this body, the Chair ruled just a few minutes ago and Pat agreed with him...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Just a minute, Senator Haberman. I think you are out of order and I think you asked for an interpretation of the rules which I gave you. Now I don't know what there is to challenge the Chair about. If I am wrong on the rules, which I am not, that is one thing. But I don't know what there is to challenge the Chair about.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I am challenging the Chair when you ruled that it takes 30 votes...25 votes to reconsider it and I am saying it takes 30. So I am challenging your decision.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, which rule is...7(c) answers your question, Senator, on page 47, start there. Okay.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay, go ahead and tell me what it says.