

May 1, 1985

LB 687

as the one that was adopted can be adopted. I hope you will accept this amendment and it is the last one that I am going to offer on the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, then Senator Haberman.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I will just make a couple of quick remarks. Obviously, I would think that the most people in the body would not be in favor of this because one more time Senator Chambers is arguing directly 180 degrees opposite his basic philosophy. He is limiting it, making it more narrow and making it so that less people would be qualified which is directly contrary to what he has been arguing with everybody. I would point out to you simply that a person who is a CPA doesn't necessarily have to have a minor in Business Administration. So if you put that limitation in there, then you probably would disqualify a large number of CPA's which would make no sense whatsoever. The thing that we are looking to in a public auditor is to be sure that he is technically qualified in his field. If you wanted to go and stretch and exaggerate it, you can put in Senator Chambers' amendment, but basically the thing we are looking for is some qualification in his technical field and that is why the major in accounting. So I would suggest to you that the better policy is to stick with the amendment the way it is and not adopt Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Call the question.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. The question is, shall debate cease? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Senator Smith, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. Speaker, I would...would it be possible to have Senator Chambers reread his amendment?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Would you like to have the Clerk do it?

SENATOR SMITH: Yes..

SPEAKER NICHOL: We are voting on ceasing debate first and then we will go back to that.