

eighth graders from Lewiston Junior High School at Lewiston, Nebraska, with their teacher. If you would stand and we'd have a look at you, we'd like to welcome you to the State Legislature. We are on the discussion of the Hartnett motion to bracket LB 667 and let me ask of these lights who wants to speak. Senator DeCamp, do you wish to speak on the motion to bracket?

SENATOR DECAMP: I call the question.

PRESIDENT: Well we tried that a minute ago. It didn't work. Senator Barrett, do you wish to speak on the motion to bracket the bill?

SENATOR BARRETT: I do. Mr. President and members, I rose in support a moment ago of bracketing LB 667. I did it with good intentions, fair-mindedness and all of a sudden it is suggested that I didn't speak to the bill on General File or subsequently. I tried to explain my position as one of being in a situation where I hadn't read the bill and I hadn't. I read it again quickly over the weekend. It was suggested that I got up and said the bill had some rough parts. It does. It was also suggested that I didn't have the intestinal fortitude to mention what those parts are. I'd like to take just a couple of minutes of the body's time and explain what I had in mind and to try to convince some people in here that my intentions are right and honorable, that I'm honest about this thing and I'd be glad to work with anybody on this bill. Page 2, we identify buyer and seller. What about the person that is selling his own home? Should we address that situation? I don't know. It's a question that I have in my mind. Section 6 on page 3, we may have addressed this on General File the other day, the matter of real estate agent requesting a signed receipt from the consumer, that everybody has received the statement of rights and so forth. If the prospect refuses to sign that statement, must the real estate agent deny admittance to that property? Should it be an open house? I can see some potential problems there. The same page 3, Section 7, subsections 1 and 2, we have to know that we're dealing with a real estate agent, he is a representative of the seller, doesn't represent you, the agent then, the second part, is legally bound to work for the benefit of the seller. Are these two sentences a bit inconsistent? I don't know. I have a question. I'd like to look at that a little more carefully. Line 22, page 3, we have a right to choose our