

state colleges. The University of Nebraska has only one of \$94,000 which was reflecting additional veterinary student contracts than had been anticipated. There will be language in the regular bill this year that will lean toward a cap on the number of those students that the state will be funding. Another larger item deals with the State Patrol. Last year there was an appropriation made on a lease purchase basis for a computerized message switcher which is part of the communication system. Further review of that lease purchase concept became apparent that it would be wiser and more economical to make a straight out appropriation for the purchase rather than lease purchase, resulting over a period of time substantial savings. So that was then authorized and approved. I would be glad to answer any questions on the smaller amounts if there are...many of them deal with adjustments in salary limitations without additional funds. Others are, as I indicated, smaller amounts for smaller agencies where perhaps it reflects unemployment compensation or an employee who retired and had vacation and sick benefits coming but because of a small agency could not absorb those expenditures. And, as I say, I would be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, do you have your light on for this matter?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would just like to pose a question perhaps. I don't want to...not being critical, but I would just like as a point of information, I understand that the roof on the Game and Parks was replaced once earlier and that is now to be replaced again and the building is only 15 years old. I would like to ask Senator Warner, does the state have any protection on this type of capital construction when there is obviously something at fault by a contractor? Do we have any recourse or are we...just have to take the full exposure?

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, you were asked a question.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes. That issue was discussed at length in the committee because our first reaction I think was exactly what yours is, Senator Schmit, that surely there should have been some coverage. In this case part of the problem is that the first roof had the 20-year limited warranty but the contractor has gone broke and apparently there is no ability