

Legislature, all I'm going to say are a few things that I hope you will pay attention to. First of all we are dealing with the legislative process, the means by which we convert a bill into law. Every proposition placed before us is subject to amendment. We pick and choose those times when we are going to talk about germaneness. LB 662 is causing a whole lot of confusion, even though the Governor has signed it, because an amendment was offered to that bill which people will come up time, after time and say it was not germane and it ought not to have been added to the bill. Nevertheless it was. The Governor has indicated that he really is a gambler because he is taking a tremendous chance without even knowing how many cards are in the deck when he signs a bill based on a promise from legislators that they are going to do something in the future? He's not a gambler, he's a dreamer. He shouldn't just read The Carnival of The Animals, he should go into Alice's Adventures in Wonderland and write a new chapter. But, such being the case, it is clear that we pick and choose when we want to raise the issue of germaneness. We are not concerned at all whether an amendment deals with the details of a bill, or will change the bill substantially, or whether the amendment will overshadow the original bill by being greater in significance than the bill itself. We have a nice little bill that Senator Conway brought with his...well, Senator Rupp is not here, but his colleague. I had it described to me, after Ak-Sar-Ben came and jumped into the bill, that they have taken a saddle that ought to go on a dinosaur and put it on a little miniature horse. And that little, bitty horse has to carry Ak-Sar-Ben's saddle. And the Legislature is going to allow that to happen because Ak-Sar-Ben pops the whip. And because Ak-Sar-Ben has interests that might be touched by what we are talking about, another whip is being popped that relates not to the merits of the amendment which, as I say may have no merit whatsoever, but is going to distort our process of legislation. The words Senator Hoagland spoke could be spoken about any amendment that anybody offers. The words he spoke have no genuine relevance to what we are discussing here because the amendment fits this bill like a hand fits a glove, a glove which was tailored for that hand. You are talking about a method of gambling, a method of gambling, not the instrumentality by which you gamble. You are not talking about gambling only with a piece of cardboard. Bingo is conducted differently than that. To show that the Legislature is not able to even determine every type of