

the lust for some additional revenues we are willing to give up on the one clear initiative we've undertaken in this state to try and encourage the development of alternative energy. We really have nothing else that we've come forward with to try and encourage solar energy development. It's disappointing and it's unfortunate that we think that we're over the hump in terms of energy. It hasn't changed. We still have the problems that we've always had and the issue continues. This has been around only four years. We've had it apply to the last three and now this is the fourth year in a five year program. Next year will be the last year. I'm asking to allow it to go the full five years and then it automatically sunsets. I think that's a fair thing to do. You're not even giving it a chance and now that it's starting to move, starting to happen and we're losing some revenues because solar energy is being used, now you say it is doing something you don't want to have happen. It's working. Just when it's starting to happen you decide to cut it off. I think that's unfortunate and I'd like to ask your at least consideration for this amendment to take the issue out of this bill so we can give it proper attention in another piece of legislation.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is the adoption of the Wesely amendment. Those in support vote yes, those opposed vote no. Twenty-five votes required.

CLERK: Senator Lamb voting no.

SENATOR LAMB: Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 10 ayes, 17 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: The amendment fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, the last amendment I have to the bill at this time is by Senator Pappas. (Pappas amendment appears on page 1868 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Pappas to open.

SENATOR PAPPAS: Mr. Speaker, this will be very brief. I don't think it will take any discussion. I think...in fact, this could be opening and close too. It is basically the bottom compromise amendment. It allows systems that are