

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. The question is the adoption of the last Vard Johnson amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Johnson's amendment to the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Any other good amendments?

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have to the bill is by Senator DeCamp. (DeCamp amendment found on page 1201 of the Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: You have an amendment, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, Senator Wesely will explain the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members, what is this amendment? Oh, yes, no. It's on page 1201 of the Journal. It is introduced by Senator DeCamp and Senator DeCamp and I have worked on a number of energy issues in the past and so together we have worked on this issue. If you look on page 1201 of the Journal you will see that a number of changes are made in LB 273. Those changes can be summarized thusly. The present bill would strike any provisions for the solar tax credit which was enacted several years ago by this Legislature with a five year phase-out built into it. It's got one more year after this in which it would be applicable and then it would end. This bill in its present form would have that end as of last January, the first of this year. This amendment would say instead of ending that, as the bill now proposes, for last January, it would be January 1 of 1992. The reason this year was picked out, 1992, is because another bill, LB 297, which is in the Banking Committee which deals with the issue of the solar tax credits came out of the committee with that provision. The issue of the solar tax credit has been discussed by both the Revenue Committee and by the Banking Committee. The Banking Committee has really dealt with it as an energy issue. The Revenue Committee has dealt with it as a revenue issue and I guess you'd have to look at it in both lights. If you look at it as a revenue issue, purely