

marriage licenses, Senator Lundy corrected me. This method of taxation is not good. The amount being raised does not justify us using this tactic. The state's budget will not be hurt at all. But suppose you happen to be involved in one of those real estate transactions where the amount of paper that they have to deal with at the office down there takes no amount of work at all, but you have to pay over \$1,000. For what? For nothing in effect. Senator Haberman is upset, Senator Nichol is upset because the counties do all of this arduous work and don't get paid exorbitantly for it. They make far more than what it costs them to do what they do, whatever that is. It varies from county to county. Sometimes it varies within the county from time to time. I'm opposed to the committee amendment. If you take the committee amendment, instead of raising the amount to \$2.00 per \$1,000, is that right Senator Johnson, it is \$1.50.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: \$2.00 per \$1,000.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The bill raises it to \$2.00...listen to what I said. The committee amendment, instead of raising it to \$2.00 makes it \$1.50.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Okay. What they wanted was a quarter and the committee amendment is going to give them 50 cents. They came in and asked for \$2.00, the oldest trick in the books. It is a bad bill. I'm afraid if you accept the amendment you might make it more palatable. So I hope you do not accept the amendment. Then after we get through with this, I'm going to offer a kill motion.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, I just wanted to rise to the defense of Senator Chambers who has been accused of wanting to spend, spend, spend but not tax, tax, tax. The difference between Senator Chambers and Senator Vard is that Senator Vard wants to spend, spend, spend and also tax, tax, tax.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Chizek.

SENATOR CHIZEK: I call the question.