

session.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, you can suggest that. I don't know if it is agreeable. Senator Pappas, yes. Who else?

CLERK: Senator Lamb, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Meritorious amendment here. Look at it on your desk. Pull it away? Okay, I will withdraw it. He's the boss.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay, we're back...okay, Senator Wesely, do you want to...well, I guess we have the motion, perhaps you should do it. Oh, Senator John DeCamp was going to close, you said.

SENATOR DECAMP: We are ready to close.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay, we are ready to close and this is the closing.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, Mr. President and members, I think it is important to distinguish this particular resolution from the origins of the first nuclear freeze proposals. This is not "a wild-eyed liberal scheme" or anything of the point...anything of the kind. If you will check the polls that have been done of the public of the United States, an overwhelming majority are in favor of both sides, Russia and the United States, two major powers, ceasing additional new nuclear weapons, nuclear bombs, in other words, a freeze that can be verified. In other words, checked by both sides whether it is onsite or whatever is necessary. That is what you are voting on here. Now how did I or why am I supporting it? I am supporting it because I believe it is necessary and I use as my reason for switching from opposition to support a few years ago a lot of information given to me by a very good friend, Bill Colby, who, as some of you have met here, used to be head of the CIA I think under both Nixon and Ford, was my boss in Vietnam when I was there. He assures me and I believe him that, first of all, it can be verified, at least the basic elements that are necessary can be verified. The systems are in place, we have the ability for that, that without some kind of an agreement we are at a loss to impose or even have any