

lienholders. In other words, I've got the information from him and I didn't really know otherwise, and it further presumes that I am honest and acting in good faith. In other words, yes, I'm acting in good faith. If all those things happen and it really isn't that complicated, you get the transaction, then, no matter what happens, no matter what claims are against that, no matter how many mortgages, that elevator or, in the case of an auction barn, that auction barn buys that product free and clear. Yes. Of course, what happens to the check is up to them. Right? Okay. Yes. Now let's use another example. Gary comes in, tries to sell me the corn, only this time when I ask him he says, no, there ain't no first lienholders. I make the check only out to Gary. Gary has lied to me, which he shouldn't have done. Despite that I was the one, the buyer, I was fooled by him. I give him the check. Under the present law I, the buyer, am hung for this, and that is the double jeopardy thing. Under this system I am free, completely the opposite of the current system. As I say a major, major, major change from the existing system for 100 and some years, 108 years in this state and most other states, except for California. So if you have questions I'll try to answer them, otherwise that is an explanation of the amendments. As I say, it is a major amendment, I realize that. Somebody might be able to object that we should suspend the rules before we do it. I would hope you wouldn't because it does get the issue settled. I asked to have a paper signed by all the various entities, banks and so on and so forth, saying that they do agree to this, so that I'm not saying anything out of school. We don't have the livestock people here today, but Bill Brandt says the banks will accept this. Alice Licht says they will accept the double jeopardy provisions, which is what we are talking about here. Somebody from the PCAs has agreed, Bill Peters. The co-ops, represented by Denny Rasmussen, have said they accept this. This would settle the double jeopardy issue for at least three years, that is how long this lasts. As I say, it would be the first state to try this specific system. I guess I think if they think it will work it is sure worth a try. The balance of the amendment puts into this bill two other bills. The reason we are doing that is this, basically, kind of innocent and innocuous bill, in order to function and make sure all the pieces hold together, has to have all things dealing with the central indexing system all put together...