

the statutes if you must, but don't allow the stench to emanate until you're required by the government to do so. What I'm saying is that we're going to take these droppings and hermetically seal them until September 1, 1989. The government is not going to punish you in any case. This is not one of those propositions where the government has said you'll lose money if you don't do it. We're not even selling out the birthright of the public in this instance. They're not even paying us to do it. If you do something for money it is contemptible and reprehensible, we all understand that, if the thing which you're doing violates your principles or some moral code of the kind Senator Landis was touching on tangentially during his very, very learned discussion a few minutes ago. But if you do it for money, people say I understand that. Money will corrupt. There is not even any money involved in this. I don't know if you can apply that word prostitution if money is not given or something of value. So what is it when the same thing is done for nothing? Just a total lack of self-respect, a total lack of self-esteem. The government will not frown at you if you adopt this amendment. The public will understand that they've got four years during which to try to put different people in the Legislature to protect their interest before this thing takes effect. Why must you hasten? It doesn't say that the government will punish you or require these air bags or anything else before September 1, 1989, because they're not going to require the air bags until 1990. You should get a clear indication between now and 1990 of what the intentions of the federal government are with reference to the requirements of equipment to be placed in new automobiles. There are a number of pieces of legislation pending at the federal level now to require air bags by 1990. If the Senate originates a bill or the House and the bill is passed into law, we're not talking any more about a rule or a regulation by the Department of Transportation, we're talking about a requirement imposed on automobile manufacturers. Wouldn't it be nice for Nebraska which does not comprise that much of a country's population anyway, so putting Nebraska in the roll over, lay dead column is not going to do that much. Wouldn't it be nice for Nebraska to be able to say, Nebraska did not knuckle under this time? Let the other states do it. If they are as fearful as some people in this Legislature seem to be, they will put this kind of legislation on the books and Nebraska citizens won't have to contend with it. There won't be the compromising of law