

SENATOR HALL: I rise to support the DeCamp amendment. I'd also, just for a point of clarification, like to ask Senator DeCamp a couple of questions, if he will yield.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator DeCamp, will you yield?

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: Senator DeCamp, your amendment does two things. One is decrease the number of years time that we spread over, and the percentage amount.

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: Why the reduction in the percentage amount, and how much are we talking about? What are we talking about in terms of dollar figures?

SENATOR DECAMP: Difference between 40 and 45, I'll use a round number, \$50 million.

SENATOR HALL: Why, in essence, the increase from 40 percent now instead of the 45 percent and the 5-year proposal?

SENATOR DECAMP: Five years, I don't believe we're...you string something out for five years, it's going to be ducked and avoided and the law changed. I don't believe history shows that we, as a Legislature, and I'm not talking about Nebraska, any state, really will face up to things unless you are kind of forced. For example, I'll give you an example you'll see right quickly. This Legislature, within the next two weeks, I predict, will pass a bill that involves about \$145 million. They will do it because of crisis and an emergency. The bill, which Senator Landis and some of the rest of us will sponsor and Senator Warner will be helping get through, has to do with the refunds because of a U.S. Supreme Court decision to insurance companies. Crisis, \$145 million issue. We'll face up to it. We'll get her done. We'll do it because we have to. I'm trying to make us have to. The three years...three legislative sessions is more than enough. The amount. If you'll check with other states and financing systems, any time you get over about 35 or 40 percent it is just too much reliance on property. If you get in that 35, 40, 30 percent range, and I think about 40 percent is the maximum, then you've got a