

conformance with the Administrative Procedures Act. In other words, for the first time we are going to treat claims and the processes involved with the claims before Administrative Services in the same manner that we treat all the other administrative actions. And it was pointed out to me that there is still one discrepancy in the sense that the Administrative Procedures Act allows appeals by any party aggrieved and the statute that we are amending presently still says that only the claimant can appeal from a decision of the Director of Administrative Services. So what this little amendment does is simply says that any party who is aggrieved by the decision of the Director of Administrative Services has the right to appeal just as they would in other administrative procedures under the Administrative Procedures Act. And that is the sole purpose of the amendment. I would ask for its adoption, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Richard Peterson, your light is on. Okay. Any further discussion on the motion? If not, the question is, shall the bill be returned? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to return the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is returned. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: The motion is the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Beutler amendment is adopted. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I move the return of the bill to E & R for Engrossment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: You have heard the motion. All those in favor say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. LB 384.

CLERK: (Read LB 384 on Final Reading.)