

there either. And in addition to that, my eighty year old mother who pays school taxes in terms of five figures is financing Class I's, II's and III's and she could care less except that her children and grandchildren and neighbor's children get a good education. But I think what I am trying to point out most of all is...and I don't want to accuse any of my fellow members of this, but there is a little hypocrisy in this entire issue. We have all been here long enough to know how things are accomplished. I am a member of almost every single farm organization and they have conflicting points of view and they have conflicting interests. One of those organizations that I have long ties to opposes LB 60. But, you know, that organization should have been in here supporting my amendment yesterday on LB 662 because it could well mean millions and millions of dollars for rural people in Nebraska. But they are worried about a few thousand dollars in this instance. Now I can give you a specific instance. A few weeks ago the minority council for the Senate Ag Committee had requested and Senator Zorinsky had been interested in having the Nebraska Wheat Board come back to testify on this new farm bill, but the majority side of the aisle said, no, they can't do that. There is a Nebraska law that forbids the Nebraska Wheat Board to come back here to testify. Now they didn't give a good darn about the Nebraska law, but the real point that I want to make is this, they didn't want the Nebraska Wheat Board testimony back there on the farm bill because it did not agree with the majority council's opinion. Now I don't think that is very good. I want to point out again that for many years the Nebraska Wheat Board did have this authority. It was only in 1981 that it was removed. Most of you have the copy of this article, this little magazine called the Wheat Power Broker and if you go through there, they should have identified those issues on which the Nebraska Wheat Board has participated. I don't think you can find that they participated in an improper manner. Even more important is this. Most of the various local commodity boards participate with the national organizations. Let me recite you a few figures from the Corn Checkoff Board proposals. They paid the Nebraska U. S. Feed Grains Council, the '83-84 budget, \$350,000. Now do you think the U. S. Feed Grains Council doesn't lobby? You know darn well they do. Absolutely. Do a good job of it. Is it okay then to launder our money through a national organization when we can't send our own people back to testify? They sent \$40,000 to the National Corn Growers Foundation. They