

main concerns about simply killing the bill in the past, frankly, has been that people might just all go home and say we don't need to address this issue anymore and we won once again and we all know that that isn't going to happen and that is not the case that we need to address these issues. But I guess if I were to compare what is going on here today on the floor and what has gone on with this bill to taking my car to a mechanic, I can tell you if I took my car into the mechanic with carburetor trouble and the mechanic did to it what we have done to this bill I don't think the thing would even move out of the garage and that's about the place we are today and I just don't think that we can cobble up something and solve problems that have been around for 40 or 50 years in a morning or in an afternoon. I can sense a feeling of fatigue on this issue on the floor of a number of members and I think that's the point where you start putting bad legislation through. I think the best analogy that I can think of is a lot of times...I play a little tennis, and a lot of times when I lose a tennis game what I do is I'm getting tired and I finally see a high ball that comes over the net and it's kind of lobbed and I run up and I say I'm going to smash this ball over the net and get it and I think that's about where we might be if we pass this bill because what you do when you smash a ball like that, most of the time is you knock the thing clear out of the court or else you shove it into the net and I'm not too sure but what we aren't about to make that kind of stroke, if you want to call it that, on this bill. So I think if we would return this bill to committee and keep working on it, it gives me a reason to work on it. It gives the proponents a reason to work on it. It doesn't allow anybody to go back out there and say, well we beat it and we don't have to face this issue and I think this is a reasonable way to go about it and I urge you to join me on this. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland, then Senator Landis.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: (No response.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis, then Senator Vickers.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, we have built into this mechanism a study and what Senator Scofield suggests is the issue of looking at particular situations that deserve to be looked at. We can write hardships rules. We've delayed the implementation of this