

SENATOR LAMB: Very distinct difference. Another thing in my amendment which is of an advantage to the Class III district, the high school district, each student shall be considered a resident student of the receiving district. That's for state aid purposes. The high school district gets to count that student as one of its resident students as far as state aid is concerned so they get the state aid which is not the case under the present situation we have. Now the other thing that the Vard Johnson amendment does not do, it does not let a Class I divide to go to several different high schools. This is important because some of these Class I's are big and some of the high school students go to two or three different schools, high schools. Okay, under my proposal what we do is divide the valuation of that Class I among the high school districts and then the levy is assessed against that percentage depending on how many students go to each school.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time.

SENATOR LAMB: It is a very fair, it's the one we need. I urge you to reject this proposal by the proponent.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Scofield, please. Then Senator Vickers.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: I don't have a whole lot to say. I think I said it when I was opposing bringing this bill back from...for the amendments. But I just can't let one comment pass, Mr. Speaker and members. I think we have to be more concerned with the individuals that are being affected by this than Senator Beutler would suggest. I would agree that any time you pass legislation you generally write fairly broad legislation and you don't...you just simply cannot please every individual that has a particular concern. In this case though I think there has been a disregard for the fact that we have a lot of people in this state who live in very sparsely populated remote areas and I don't know if we can work all of those things out, but I would suggest to this body that we have an obligation to at least try rather than just say, well, we've done the best we can and we're going to pass a bill that isn't even close to being considerate of individual needs and individual families out there. And I would suggest to you that we ought to think long and hard before we just sweep a few small individuals under the rug because if you can sweep my constituents under