

that were passed out and they cannot be separated? Is that correct?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, it was brought back for a specific amendment and as I understand when you bring it back for a specific amendment you bring it back and what you have in front of you is a specific amendment, except number seven, which I believe was stated before would be a separate situation from the rest of them. So what I am saying to you, Senator Haberman, is, one, two, three, four, five, six will be voted on and number seven will be voted on separately.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, Mr. President, did we vote on number seven being separated from the rest of them or how did it get separated?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, you may if that's...

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, I say, did we vote? How did it get separated from the rest of them?

SPEAKER NICHOL: It was drafted as a separate amendment. In other words, these items were a separate amendment. Before we returned it Senator Vard Johnson stated that it would be separated out, voted separately, but that was the only mention made before it was returned. So what I am saying to you is that numbers one through six will be voted on as one and then number seven as one.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Another point, if these were accepted and it was returned to Final Reading, then could a motion be made that it be returned again to separate the amendments?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, I understand it could.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you very much.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes. The way that would be done probably would be to strike one, say number three or six or whatever you're opposing, but it can be brought back from Final Reading once it is readvanced from this...the way it stands now. Senator Smith, please and then Senator Landis.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, members of the body, I would like to have a point of clarification made on the first part