

April 10, 1985

LB 662
LR 80

that the Class III district, for instance, would be obligated to transport these high school students even though they are not transporting the grade school students because they are still attending the Class I so that is, in many cases, that is going to mean a lot of extra miles. You take Brown County, Brown County is the best example I can think of.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Your time is up.

SENATOR LAMB: You have Ainsworth up to the north end and Class I's at the south end. I oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LR 80. Senator Remmers, then Senator Vickers.

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to really see this question divided a little. The first issue that Vard, Senator Johnson had on his handout in regard to the privilege of juniors and seniors finishing up in the school where they had been attending I think is perfectly in order. It is a procedure that we followed in the school that I was connected with when we had a Class I come in and the students were either juniors or seniors, the last two years of their high school, we let them finish up in the district where they had been attending and paid their tuition. I think that is only in order and I believe this would do that. The second part about the vote for the affiliation, I think it is probably only proper that if the Class I can vote whether or not to be affiliated, the Class II or III should also be able to vote whether or not they are going to accept them. I have no problem with that. I do have some serious questions about #3, the severability, that Senator Lamb addressed, and I guess if I were to vote on this right now, I would prefer to vote on those first two issues first if that could be done. Also I am not quite clear on Senator Johnson's...the matter of the transportation. Now are you going to transport the elementary students from the Class I schools? You are not but you are going to assess the total transportation charge to those districts? Pardon? High school only, you will sort out the high school cost rather than the total transportation cost. But I do think those first two issues are very important that we adopt those to this 662.