

to discuss it with you privately. I mean I am not pulling the wool over anybody's eyes. I know that if Senator Schmit was standing up and offering this amendment, I, personally, would vote for it because I think it is always important to put a bill in the best shape you can put it in and I would have done that for Senator Schmit. Now later on when my turn came, I would try to strip out the whole Schmit concept, okay, but I might not succeed with that, but I would at least make certain that his work was in good, clean shape. That is all and that is what I am doing right this minute because Loran, unfortunately, is not here.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Were you through, Senator Haberman?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Unfortunately, yes, I am.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right, thank you. Senator Vard Johnson, did you wish to close on the Schmit amendment?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I hope I have made myself relatively clear, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, that the amendment that Senator Schmit is offering to LB 662 is being offered by him in good faith. It is designed to make the work product that he proposed and this body adopted on Select File regarding the property tax budget of school districts more workable. It is technical in nature. It is clarifying some problems that he discovered. It is an amendment that I believe we should adopt in good conscience simply to make his work product a better work product and I would at this time move the return of the bill to Select File for the purpose of the adoption of this specific amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you, Senator. Senator Withem, your light came on. However, Senator Vard Johnson was closing. All right, thank you. The question is the return of the bill for a specific amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. We are voting on return of the bill. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 4 nays on the motion to return the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is returned. Senator Vard Johnson.