

built into them. So we are picking up and saying essentially that if it is the type of vehicle that is required to have a safety belt built into it, then that is the thing we are talking about in LB 496. It seems to make sense to parallel that particular statute. So with that I would ask the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: You have heard the closing. The question is the adoption of the Beutler amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? We are voting on the adoption of the Beutler amendment, please. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment to LB 496, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Beutler amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers would move to amend the bill. Senator, this is...the amendment would read as follows: (Read the Vickers amendment as found on page 1358 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, this amendment would include taxicabs among those vehicles whom the driver and the front seat passengers would be required to wear seat belts. Now I want to make it clear that I am not a supporter of this bill, but I think the bill is probably going to pass. And if it passes, it just makes sense to me to make it be applied as uniform as possible and I cannot think of any reason why a driver of a cab or a front seat passenger of the cab should not be required to be buckled up the same as I would be if I was driving a private car on that same street. So that is all the amendment does. It includes taxicabs along with the other vehicles that are included in LB 496 and I would ask for its adoption.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: (No response.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Thank you, Speaker Nichol. Only to again