

you've got fresh eggs you want to be close to the market. I know that I objected to a facility that was going to be built up here at Schuyler and I think this is what set that all off. Now I wouldn't be afraid to take that to court.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time, please.

SENATOR SIECK: Okay, my time is up. I'll talk later.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Remmers, then Senator Hefner.

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I will not support LR 16, bring it back to the floor for several reasons. One, I have been very critical of the Legislature that we do not do our work in committees, and then when the committees do them, we disregard them. The committee studied this, came out with a vote 4-3 to kill the bill. I think that our committee system was set up with the idea that we would do our work in the committees and get along a lot faster on the floor. So one reason I will not support bringing it out is because I do respect the committee system and will very reluctantly at any time vote to bring a bill out of committee that the committee had studied and voted not to bring out. In regard to the people having spoken, I think that it was an issue kind of like motherhood. You know, you couldn't...you had to support the family farm and there was a lot of hysteria and there is still a lot of hysteria about the family farm and what a family farm really is. When we're speaking of maybe two or three brothers being able to incorporate, as Senator Sieck just indicated, the feed yard in Seward County, why should the two young men maybe who don't have any brothers not have the same privilege of incorporating and running a livestock feeding operation? I think it is very important that we address this issue before the November election, that we could put it on the ballot. But I am very reluctant to vote a bill on the floor under the guise of one thing with the idea that, well, we'll amend it. I remember very well my first year here when I fell for that. I think we have plenty of time to bring the bill back on the floor next session and that says clearly what we're going to do and I think it's important that we do modify the constitutional amendment that we placed there two years ago. Very few people really understand the implications and I'm not so sure that I do, but I do think it needs to be changed, but this time I think we're going to take a lot of time and I