

meeting, there was no dialogue, in fact, it was monologue only in which one side comes in with a proposal and the other side immediately says, there is no compromise. We would have nothing to accept. It is either all our way or nothing at all and that is the way it is. Well, if that is the way the situation is, why were we told there was even grounds for compromise? There could be no compromise if one side says, it is my way or nothing at all. So I moved to advance this bill one round thinking that the sides could get together. They can't. So now here we are fighting it out on the floor, something which I think none of us really wanted and on that basis alone, if for no other reason, I think we should support the kill motion and then maybe these people can go back into the conference room and reach their compromise at another time. Now there is another reason that I support the kill motion. As I go through my file and I am reading my file, I find that the CRI (sic.) has come down with some decisions. The Supreme Court has overturned those decisions. So it looks to me like the CIR is coming back and saying, well, the Supreme Court has overturned these so what we will do is we will get the Legislature to put our opinion into law and then our opinion will be right and then the Supreme Court can overturn them. So I suggest to you that you look a little further as to what is happening and why this is going on. Now that is a good deal if the CRI (sic.) can get us to put into law their opinions and, therefore, the Supreme Court can overturn them. So for two reasons I think that this bill should be killed right here. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Barrett.

SENATOR BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, I guess I reluctantly rise to suggest that I believe Senator DeCamp is speaking the truth and I am sorry that Senator Wesely was unwilling to accept the amendment which was offered by Senator DeCamp to delete just that last sentence. I guess I was triggered by something also and I...something that Senator Morehead said, that on General File this bill, 213, was very briefly discussed and then advanced to Select File with the understanding that there would be a meeting, a compromise meeting with the interested parties sitting down and working out their differences. And I believe that the interested senators, and that includes the introducer of the bill, Senator Wesely, acted with this good faith understanding. I know I agreed at the time that this would