and support the bill even though I am not keen on it.

SENATOR WESELY: I would love to try and work something out. We have met and tried to do that, Senator DeCamp, but evidently without the last sentence you are unable to enforce the act so it is necessary to include it.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, you contend you want to maintain the last sentence?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, then I...you understand then that then we should move ahead with the kill motion. Is that okay?

SENATOR WESELY: Whatever you want.

SENATOR DECAMP: Are you sure you don't want to drop the last sentence?

SENATOR WESELY: I would like to drop the last sentence but I can't.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, then let us begin. Mr. President, this is a complicated issue as are so many of the Business and Labor issues that come before the Legislature. It has to do with definition of "supervisor" and "bargaining units" and all the case law that goes along. It was my hope that Senator Wesely would agree to drop that last sentence and that is critical. We will get into that a little bit, because you see then Senator Wesely in his bill and the labor unions would literally have won a big victory. Why would they have won that victory? Because they would have the NLRA, the NLRB definition exactly as they claim they wanted it for years and which was vehemently fought and opposed, of course, by business, by the municipalities, by the other side, the traditional sides in the business labor disputes. Let me get my Certs out. It is my contention...it is my contention, which I hope to prove here, that that last sentence is inappropriate and in a sense even illegitimate in terms of what was sought and presented to the Legislature over the years. That sentence is not in the NLRB or the NLRA definition. However, I repeat, despite the fact, despite the fact that business has traditionally opposed this NLRB definition, the actual