

April 1, 1985

LB 356

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers has been around here today.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Vickers, your amendment is on page...

PRESIDENT: On LB 356.

CLERK: 1009 is the first one I have, Senator.

PRESIDENT: You are recognized, Senator.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, I want to withdraw that first amendment. I think there is another one up there following it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers withdraws the 1009 amendment.

CLERK: Senator Vickers, your second amendment is on page 1080, Senator.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, the amendment on page 1080 in the Journal, on LB 356, is an amendment to try to clarify what, as I read LB 356, was rather confusing language. It seemed to me, as we were striking the language on lines 4 and 5 in LB 356 that indicated that any person who shall be convicted of operating, and instead put in shall be unlawful to operate, left it somewhat vague as to whether or not people really need to be convicted of the crime of driving on a suspended, revoked or impounded license. So the amendment that I am offering to you would reinstate, after...or on line 8, after "pursuant to" would reinstate the language "conviction or convictions for violation of", which would then make it read that if they are "suspended, revoked or impounded pursuant to conviction or convictions for violation of any law of this state." So it puts the conviction language back in. I might tell you that this language has been agreed upon by the people involved with this piece of legislation, to my knowledge. So, therefore, I would ask for the adoption of this amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Richard Peterson.

SENATOR PETERSON: Mr. President and members, I see nothing