

goodly portion would help pay for those programs.'" That is correct, there is nothing in the bill that specifies the money to go to alcohol or drug treatment programs?

SENATOR NELSON: May I address that, Senator Higgins. I did my homework I think on this bill to begin with. I understand that it is maybe an onwritten agreement in this Legislature that when my bill was introduced I did not have an A bill on that. The understanding was that I would follow through with an A bill. I think Senator Warner will confirm this. I asked Senator Warner how to handle this...

SENATOR HIGGINS: Uh huh.

SENATOR NELSON: ...and he said he preferred that as all bills it goes into the general fund and I immediately introduced an A bill and I am prepared to do that. As soon as I can get this debate cut off, I am prepared to offer an A bill.

SENATOR HIGGINS: We have one here.

SENATOR NELSON: All right. I have an A bill and so I guess if I want to get technical, I will say the World Herald probably was right but they put their words in there. I didn't put them in there, and...

SENATOR HIGGINS: I understand that perfectly.

SENATOR NELSON: ...technically I guess LB 280 does not, but LB 280A is an appropriation bill which I am prepared to speak on and address.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Okay, thank you, Senator.

SENATOR NELSON: And it is following immediately.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you. In regards to the A bill, it says, there is hereby appropriated \$800,000 from the general fund for the period July 1, 1985 to '86; \$1.5 million from the general fund from July 1, 1986 to June 30, 1987, to the Department of Public Institutions for Program 33. I don't know what Program 33 is, but does this mean then that people that went to private detoxification centers would get none of these tax dollars, that they would have to go to a Department of Public Institutions for detoxification,