

General for an opinion, to tip you off to how you've been tricked and made to look foolish again. I'm going to let you do it. I'm going to help you do it, not by adding craziness to the bill, but by stopping the craziness from being taken out. Senator Vickers, I have to oppose your attempt to bring rationality into an irrational situation. You and I, and Senator Beutler and one or two others, should have an occasion every now and then to chortle I told you so. As Santana said, is that what his name was,...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time is up.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Said, those who don't learn history are doomed to repeat it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, please.

SENATOR BEUTLER: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hefner, then Senator Marsh.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to oppose this amendment. Section 3 is nearly, is nearly like the shoplifter section that we have in our law books. It is nearly the same as the retailers use for shoplifting in our state. I think some of these senators are nit picking this bill. This bill isn't that bad. We went over it last summer and last fall, had an interim study on it. We had the librarians in. We had a lot of people testify for the bill. Senator Beutler, this is not a national model of a bill. Parts of it are patterned after an Iowa law. They are getting along real good with theirs. We need, we need a little more protection for our librarians. A lot of the librarians in Nebraska are volunteers, they are doing a good job, so I don't see anything wrong with trying to help them out a little bit. I think we need to protect the taxpayers. We have a lot of public libraries in Nebraska. We need to protect our taxpayers in Nebraska so that they will not have to be coming up with more tax money to add new books, to add new library materials to their particular library. So I would urge you to oppose the Vickers amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Marsh, please.

SENATOR MARSH: I move the previous question.