

the merchants if they don't comply. So, Mr. President, I move for the advancement of 552A.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion? Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, I move or would ask that you don't approve this because on the fiscal note it states that there are a lot of problems in implementing the bill, how to determine name and location of out of state vendors, how to handle lists with only names and addresses, whom to send tax due statements to and how or whether to follow up with second notices of collection calls. So I don't think that the bill is in proper shape and therefore I don't think that we should advance this A bill at this time. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, the A bill to LB 552 calls for...has a fiscal impact of \$5,000 which I think is an incredibly modest A bill. The kind of return that we are anticipating when LB 552 is fully implemented is in the several hundreds of thousand dollars range. Now Senator Haberman discovered, oh, several weeks ago that 552 was a bad bill in his point of view and as a result he has tended to bedevil this bill. The truth of the matter is it is a very responsible piece of legislation that Senator Hefner brought to us and it is designed to treat out of state merchants like we treat instate merchants. Now it is conceivable there are no merchants in Imperial and that is further reason that Senator Haberman can kind of continue to favor out of state merchants. But I cannot understand why outstate merchants should receive tax breaks while instate merchants do not. So legislative bill...the A bill to 552 for \$5,000 needs to advance so that LB 552 can ultimately become the law so that we in effect can treat outstate merchants as we treat instate merchants.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hefner. Is there any other discussion on the A bill under discussion? Senator Hefner, you may close.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, just a point of clarification here. Senator Haberman was right in one way. The A bill or the fiscal impact was a lot greater but the original bill also included services and so