

automatically dead. We can do the same thing with this bill. I see very little purpose or no purpose in sending it back to committee and would not, of course, like to see it killed. But if you want to leave it here on General File, that is a viable alternative.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker, I will just let you all know there is a kill motion filed on this and if you don't send it back to committee, I think that would be one of the next things taken up. So it is a question of options at this point.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the committee, I would object to this just merely on the basis that this is a senator's priority bill and I don't think it is quite fair to take somebody's priority bill and send it back to the committee. That isn't right. So I would say let's deal with the issue right now, vote it up or vote it down and let's get on with the other bills we have on the green sheet. I don't think this is a fair maneuver and I don't like it. After you pick a priority bill then have it referred back to the committee, that is the same thing as killing it. So I would suggest you vote against the amendment and let's just vote on the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Excuse me, were you through, Senator Haberman? Okay, Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: I call the question.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you, it won't be necessary. That was the last light. Senator DeCamp, did you wish to close, please?

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes. Mr. President, Senator Haberman makes it sound as if I am trying to abuse the bill and Senator Lamb by suggesting...and molesting his bill by sending it back to committee. Precisely the contrary. I might as well just spit it out here. Senator Haberman, you are a fairly bright individual. The issue is what we are going to do on property tax and I think we finally got the issue close enough in focus, I don't want to see it lost. And I think