

substitute the numbers for 10 percent and \$1,000, immoral as that is. So we get the amendment corrected, would you accept that as a substitute? You understand, all I care about is the proration and the setting aside of a penny.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, my problem is this. This part of your amendment was original...the original committee amendment had this provision in that you are setting up a fund with a penny.

SENATOR DECAMP: Right.

SENATOR LAMB: But a problem that the Revenue Committee ran into when they discussed that and they had two versions...that was the first version and I thought it was okay. But then they decided it was going to be too long and too cumbersome because first you have got to wait, you have got to wait until that fund is built up. You have got to wait a year to see how much money you have before you know how much you can rebate. So you don't know what your total collections are going to be until you have collected the whole year's worth of money, then you start calculating how much it would be for each individual person and that is impossible. That is impossible to do because you don't know how many...exactly how many claims there are going to be for rebates and so while at first we did consider that, and that would...you know, the principle of it is okay but it is not workable. That is the only problem. If you wanted to dedicate a whole penny to this tax and it was workable, I would say, do it, but we could not arrive at any method to make the thing workable because you don't know exactly how much money you are going to collect until you have already got it collected and by then, by the time you calculate out how much everybody gets, then you have to go back and recalculate because you don't know how many requests there are going to be for the money. So I guess I oppose the amendment because I see it as being unworkable.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator, did you wish to close or...?

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, I will close.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right.