

particular case, you should uphold the Speaker and not allow this amendment to be considered. For instance, if you did allow the amendment voters out there may perfectly be willing to change the starting date of the session, but be violently opposed to it going to annual sessions, then again you may have just the opposite. Maybe people will want to go to biennial sessions but not want to change the hearing date. The voters should not be placed in that sort of a situation where they like one part but not the other on two distinct, separate issues. They should be presented with those individually and have their individual considerations to vote on those separately. So I ask you please to uphold the Speaker and not vote to override.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Higgins, then Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I agree with the Chair that this amendment is not germane. Senator Wesely's bill deals with when the Legislature shall meet. Senator DeCamp's amendment deals with how often we shall meet. I think therein lies the difference. Senator DeCamp says biennially, Senator Wesely says when, that is all he's changing, the date that we will meet. So I think it is an entirely different subject, an entirely different matter when you are talking about when you are going to meet and when you turn around and change it to how often we are going to meet. So I would ask the Chair not be overruled on the ruling of germaneness. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, then Senator Beutler.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, Mr. President, may I ask you a question before I speak, please?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Have you picked your priority list of bills?

SPEAKER NICHOL: All but two, but that won't do you any good.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I rise to support to...and I don't like to do this to my good friend from the west, and I think I maybe know one of those two bills.