

here. I don't know if it was the sentiment of Public Works that they didn't want to separate the issues. Oh, yes, he is here. Maybe he could address this. But I guess at this point I would say that I would be in favor of returning the bill to Select File for this amendment because we are talking about so many dollars. I just don't feel like I am in a position to take a chance on losing these because of a problem with our procedures here on the floor. I know it could have been handled in a better way and I apologize for that. The error was not mine. I'm not sure whose it was. But at this point I'd say let's return the bill and attach this amendment, better safe than sorry.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, Madam Speaker, I suppose this is something that has to be done. But for purposes of not establishing precedence that I think would be unfortunate later in the session, I think that I would ask, with Senator Rupp's permission, to go a different route on it. I would ask for a ruling by the Chair as to the germaneness. And if it is not germane, simply ask Senator Rupp to suspend the rules and go that route.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Rupp, with regret I am ruling it nongermane. You may suspend the rules.

SENATOR RUPP: I will add two or three things before I move to suspend the rules. Point of clarification, I am not trying to insert LB 300 into this bill. I'm trying to insert one small paragraph into this bill. If people would listen just a little bit closely I think there wouldn't be this confusion. It says, "if applicable the person has furnished proof of payment, in the form prescribed, the United States Secretary of the Treasury, of the federal heavy vehicle use tax imposed by the Internal Revenue Code." In other words, before they can license a heavy truck they simply have to show the treasurer a receipt that they have paid this federal heavy use tax. We are not trying to insert a big omnibus bill into a little bill, just simply one paragraph as required by the federal government, with the potential of losing \$11.25 million, fiscal years '86 and '87. Speaker Nichol informs me this has not been designated a priority bill, LB 300 which contains this little clause, and it will not be. So I would move for suspension of the rules and urge your consideration of returning this to