

professionals who are going to tell you how to license their operations and keep the competition out are also telling you how to tell other Legislatures how to legislate and you are going to swallow it? Do you see what arrogance does? They knew that I would be opposed to it but they know the rest will buy it, so you say, tell this other Legislature that they shall. First of all, review the legislation pursuant to this section that they point out to you, then you shall impose a stricter criminal punishment. That is what this bill is and that is what you are going to vote on, huh, and that is what you are going to be proud of and give to your constituents. Then on page 7, line 3, we are talking about allowing an appropriate state agency to enforce violations. You want an agency to enforce violations? You want the agency to stop violations. If you enforce the law, you uphold it. If you enforce violations, you are enforcing or requiring a violation. Look at the syntax. These are the ones who are setting up the committees to tell you who is going to be allowed to practice a profession and you have got them saying we are going to enact laws to tell our state agencies to enforce violations by injunctive relief. You should say correct violations, to stop violations. Then on line 3, "by injunctive relief in court", which court? Suppose there are courts of concurrent jurisdiction, any court that you choose. This is good draftsmanship. This is a good bill. This bill is worthy of the Nebraska Legislature and I think it ought to pass with 48 votes with only Chambers who doesn't understand, who can't read English, voting in the negative because he desires to be out of step. I can see what is going to happen to this bill and with it. There are other things in it, too, but I know I am wasting my time. So I am going to let you do exactly what you intend to do. There comes a point where on some issues it is a waste for me to use my brainpower to read other people's legislation after it has been sifted and analyzed by a committee of the Legislature and determined to be appropriate. Then others, because we approach the noon hour and they get tired and their belly begins to growl, say don't discuss it anymore, don't amend it anymore, let it go. Have it your way. I think that is what McDonald says but they are only talking about hamburgers, and if you don't like it, you throw it away. You are talking about freezing something in the form of legislation and you are going to be proud of this. How much time do I have, Mr. Chairman?

SPEAKER NICHOL: About five minutes.