

supportive of this amendment, what I really want to do is get rid of that entire section. So I will accept this that they have offered, and had I known they were going to be so agreeable, I would have made one motion to strike the entire section because as they say it is designed to be intent language but it takes on more significance than that as time goes on. So if all it is is intent language with no purpose as far as legal effect, I would like to ask Senator Wesely a question, if I may.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Would you respond, Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't know if you heard me but I said what I ultimately was going to try to do was nibble my way through that entire section that you mentioned is just intent language or did you mean just the part I was referring to is intent language?

SENATOR WESELY: Well, yeah, that Section 2 is intent language but the part you are striking doesn't really affect the intent. I don't want you to nibble away. I am okay with this amendment but I would rather leave it just like it is after that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then if I do make an attempt to remove the rest of it, I would be in a battle then?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I will accept what they have given me, and while I watch the vote, plot my course accordingly.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted that care to? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment to the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The amendment is adopted. Anything else on it, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wesely would move to amend the bill.