

district that took in a Class I district to finance the sending of a high school student across the county to some other Class II or Class III district. It doesn't make sense to it that way. It does make sense to allow a one time choice. That is all this does. The second clarifying thing it does is it takes out Vard Johnson's amendment. Senator Johnson was probably going too far. He will concede that he was probably going too far. Senator Lamb pointed that out when he secured an Attorney General's Opinion indicating that allowing the voting procedure to trickle down to these fragments of Class I districts is probably not doable. All we want to do is take that language out. I don't think that those of you who are now supporting the bill, since Senator Lamb's amendment was adopted, would object to that either. I'm saying give us an opportunity now to adopt those two and other technical changes that are going to put the bill into close to final form so that we are not going to be haunted by technical problems that might require us to bring it back from Final Reading or require that we deal with it further in debate today or later this week or next week, or whenever. I'd be pleased to attempt to answer any questions you might have. We have passed out this explanation. The amendment itself is on the way. We've gotten it duplicated and we are bringing it around just as quickly as we can. I think most of you have it by now. I'd ask the adoption of the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The questions is the adoption of the Hoagland amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted that care to? Record, Mr. Clerk. Senator Hoagland.

CLERK: 15 ayes...

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I'd...let's have a roll call on this, have everybody check in.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right, roll call has been requested.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Are we under call right now, Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER NICHOL: No, we are not.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: If we are not, I request that too.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Pardon me?