

in the indefinite future having to finance a student moving from their high school to a high school elsewhere in the region. So, with that, Mr. Speaker, we would ask the adoption of this amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, this is almost a new bill now coming right in here at this late date. I would, at the very least, ask for a division of the question. Mr. Chairman, I would request a division of the question. I'm objecting to the fact that I just got a copy of it just now. It's not printed in the Journal. It's very comprehensive and it's not been circulated. I went up to the Clerk's desk and got a copy of it. I don't know what all it does. I know there are some things in there that I might agree with, some I know I won't. But at this time here we are coming in with a comprehensive change that really changes a lot of things drastically in the bill. So I guess all I can say is without knowing more about it I can't vote for it. I hope you won't vote for it. It does one thing, as Senator Smith put the amendment on the other day which took care of a situation that was called to our attention by a superintendent in her district where he is going to lose all kinds of nonresident tuition because they are going to merge with a different district because they have a smaller mill levy. So that school is going to lose nonresident tuition. Now Senator Smith put on the amendment which would allow those children to go to the school of their choice. Then the district...the sending district would then be obligated to pay the per pupil cost up to the amount of money they get from the district. Now we are taking that off. We are making that only effective for the immediate future, the time when the present students are in school. Well that does not make a lot of sense to me. We are still going to...as soon as...in four years what is going to happen in four years after all those students are out of school, then that Class II district out there at...what was the name of that town, Roseland, that Class II at Roseland is going to have the same problem that the superintendent has pointed out to all of you and that Senator Smith is trying to resolve. So in one fell swoop we are doing a lot of things in here. I don't know what they are. I know one or two of them that I don't like. I just guess I can only say that this is further evidence that this bill needs study. I hope somebody has got an amendment up