

CLERK: 26 ayes, 11 nays.. Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment to the amendment. (See page 1027 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: The amendment to the amendment is adopted. The Chair recognizes Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you. Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'm rising to express a concern for we do not lobby, outsiders do not lobby when they have the privilege of sitting on our floor and it is a form of lobbying to wear a sign which is a cross mark across LB 662 and so I would request that if someone is sitting on the floor they remove their sign on their clothes or else go up and sit in the balcony with the rest of the people who are here to watch what is going on on the floor. It has been a tradition that we do not have either verbal or placards or clothing which is a lobbying mechanism when they have the privilege of sitting on the floor on this level.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Marsh, the point has been explained. I would request that people act with discretion on the floor of the Legislature. The Speaker has announced, has asked me to announce that in the event we do not conclude work on LB 662 today that it will be taken up tomorrow as the first item on the agenda in spite of the fact that...and that 407 and LB 38 which were intended to be taken up tomorrow will be taken up Wednesday. Also the Speaker wants us to remind you that priority bills should be in to the Speaker's office by noon this Thursday, March 21. Is there an amendment on the desk? The main amendment is on the desk. We're back on the DeCamp amendment and we have next for recognition Senator Landis and then Senator Haberman, then Schmit and Vard Johnson.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, the difficulty with the DeCamp amendment is in many respects the difficulty with those people who argue against 662 on other bases and the problem with it is, you can't buy your way out of fairness. You can't achieve equity through an exchange of dollars in this case. You can't buy privileges. That's not the way to achieve equity. If we had a national defense that had two different systems and it said, listen, I'll tell you what, we're going to take everybody on the east side of the Mississippi for two years of military service and we're going to take everybody on the west side