

Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I have a point of order.

PRESIDENT: What is the point?

SENATOR HABERMAN: On page 32, under Rule 5, it states that no bill which has a fiscal impact shall be heard by a committee or considered on General File unless the fiscal note is attached. This bill has a fiscal impact. We do not have a fiscal note. We did not have one on General File. They did not have one on the public hearing and, therefore, I think it is out of order for this body to be discussing LB 23 (sic.).

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman makes a point of order that the resolution is not properly before the house because of a defect. Senator Wesely, do you have a comment on this? All right. Senator Warner. Give your attention to Senator Warner, please. The call of the house is raised, thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the cost of all constitutional amendments are...they run up or get \$25,000 or \$30,000 for the advertising, but if you all recall, and Senator Barrett I think can confirm that those claims all come in afterwards and we have never appropriated money for constitutional amendments prior to their being placed on the ballot but it comes in on the claims process once the cost of the advertising is known. And that would be what it...it is the way all constitutional amendments are handled and normally, it seems to me there usually is a note that indicates that and there perhaps should be one. But as far as an A bill, the process does not require an A bill because it is a reimbursement after the election.

PRESIDENT: Is there further discussion on the point of order? Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Warner is kind of leading you down the primrose path. I'm not talking about those costs, Mr. President. I'm talking about the cost of a staff, the cost of research, the cost of bill drafters, the cost of bringing the senators in, the per diem. All of those costs