

saying is let's keep each of these three bills separate and distinct. They each have a different purpose, serve a slightly different function. So I believe it is in the best interest of the body to keep them separate and don't try to transfer the proposal in one into another so we get confused and we really don't have distinct debate on the propositions which we are trying to address.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis, please.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I rarely engage in the matter of I told you so, so this should go under some other name I think. A couple of days ago we had a little bill in on the fraternal insurance companies. The question was raised on the floor whether or not I should be permitted to amend that bill to relate to the question of taxation that was in the bill and make a little exception in the bill. The Chair ruled that I was not germane. The body upheld that ruling. I said to you then, please, don't do that because when things like this come up, later down the road, this standard is too high. You can't talk about anything except what is in the bill practically at the standard that you are working at. Senator Vickers amendment, by any fair definition of germaneness and the standard given the other day, is not germane. But I, personally, am not going to raise that objection because I think it is a fair thing to do. I think it's a fair concept to interject and to compare against what Senator Johnson, and myself by the way as a supporter of 712, want to do. My purpose is simply to point out that if we were to follow the rule that this body enunciated a day or two ago, in upholding the Chair on my fraternal insurance corporation amendment, they certainly would not uphold the germaneness of this amendment or many of the other amendments that we'll do day, after day, after day. When you get to a process question, think about it. Take these things carefully. I wanted to interject that under the note because process questions come up all the time. We shouldn't forget about them. This is another example of how a precedent that you establish one day may come back to haunt you and tie you up another day.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis, is there a property tax relief fund, since you raised the...

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes, there is.