

control at all. The best way to use flood plain is like they did in the Salt-Wahoo. They should be commended for that. They cleared it out, they use it for recreation area. They channelized and built dams. They had a combination project that made sense. They built dams where it was necessary but only necessary for flood control purposes. In other words, in this case I think because of the severe penalty paid by the people that own farmland, the one man, one vote rule probably does not apply as it should in almost every case because in this case we talk about using, using your assets to help others without a chance to equitably talk about that. And so, therefore, I reluctantly rise to oppose this particular motion and I would urge the body to not support resurrecting this legislation out of committee.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Sieck.

SENATOR SIECK: Mr. President, members of the body, there are a few issues that I think I should bring forward again. I have a bill, 374, to raise the per diem. This is also an issue in this bill, but this will be taken care of by another bill that is already on the floor and I see no problem with this. As far as priorities, and when Senator Beutler spoke about priorities and the concern that he felt that Weeping Water would have all the priorities, I wonder how many years that the Lincoln area had priorities. They had the priorities up until now and even today it isn't certain whether Weeping Water will have the priority because of the high cost of that particular project. Now as far as the mistake of the Corps of Engineers, I don't think it was a mistake, they just took another look and are using other areas to use as an example for the Lower Platte South. If you really want to take a look at what the storm patterns are, you will find that an eye of a storm in a certain area never, has never happened as long as the history of this area is known, that we had a flood that concentrated the total area. That is what the Corps of Engineers says now that there is going to be a flood in the total area and it will cover Lincoln so to speak or go over the dikes. Well, that is far from the truth according to past history and to find \$26 million to correct this is almost impossible. It will not come from the federal government. There is no tax base here to support something like this. And as far as making that an issue, I think it is kind of a poor issue. The only way that if you have to, you're going to have to declare a flood plain zone and cover it in that fashion and