

determined by how many bet on that particular event. You will have to bet \$11.00 to win \$10.00 and any multiple of that. If you wanted to win \$50.00 you bet \$55.00. If you want to win \$100.00 you bet \$110.00, but in any event, if 100 people bet on Nebraska against Oklahoma and all 100 of them win, each person would win the full \$10.00 for every \$11.00 that he or she had bet. So this betting that I'm talking about...

SPEAKER NICHOL: (Gavel.) May we have it a little quieter so I can hear the speaker, please. Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This betting I'm talking about is not a game of chance, contrary to what the Attorney General's Opinion stated. As a matter of fact court cases have held, and a holding means the ultimate decision of the court, that a bet on a sporting event is not a game of chance, it is the stake. So it is impossible for a wager to be a game of chance. It is not a game and courts have so held. The only type of betting which even remotely approaches that of being a game of chance, once again, is betting by the parimutuel method on horse racing. So what I hope you will do with this bill is allow it to move across the board and put it on the Governor's desk. It will be my responsibility at that point to persuade him that this is a legitimate action by the Legislature. I had spent a bit of time Friday pointing out that the state has demonstrated over and over and the Legislature time and time again that there is no particular principle against gambling in this state. The horse racing indicates that, the raffles, lotteries, pickle cards and other forms of gambling which are engaged in by churches and schools and other supposedly legitimate outfits. I emphasize also that on the date of the hearing the room had a number of law enforcement persons and not one spoke against this bill. It also was filled with religious people, there to speak in behalf of gambling. So since it has been established over and over that there is no principle in this state that would militate against gambling, there is also, or there should not be a principle which would cause some people who gamble to be criminals and others who gamble to be upstanding citizens. I'm asking that this bill which would legalize a form of gambling engaged in by people from every stratum of society to be enacted. I'm opposed to the kill motion, and if in the process of discussing this motion you have any questions you want to put to me about the bill or its implications, I'm