

getting a copy on your desk. I think the other important thing that is part of this is that we need to be aware of the fact that those alternatives, those programs, those policy debates that we are going to have concerning farm policy, future farm policy, also affect not only the farmers, but also all of us as consumers as well. That is part of the new whereas. I would ask for the adoption of this amendment to LR 63, Mr. President. Thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Sieck, did you wish to speak to the amendment or to the main resolution?

SENATOR SIECK: To the amendment to the resolution. I'll speak to the resolution.

SENATOR BEUTLER: This is to the amendment then.

SENATOR SIECK: No.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I'm just about to file a motion to suspend the rules to avoid any further debate on this resolution and vote it up or down. Frankly, I am tired of driving down here on Friday morning and having absolutely meaningless farm resolution up for consideration. Have you opened your books up? Have you read the resolution? It says, in the final clause, the administration ought to work with the farm states. Now is that what you want to send back to Washington, D.C.? You know I think that is kind of a truism. I believe it is pretty well known that, yes, the administration ought to work with the people who are being adversely affected, or positively affected by policies that are being adopted. But you and I have got other business to tend to besides debate ad nauseam, meaningless, silly farm resolutions. Now, Senator Vickers and Senator Schmit, you are both learned, educated senators. But why we have to go through a weekly exercise on farm resolutions that do nothing, affect nobody, cost no money, go no place, but provide a platform, is beyond me. With that, I'll sit down.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Question.